



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,137	09/24/2001	Shinji Komatsu	213740US-3DIV	· 6195
22850	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
1940 DUKE ALEXAND	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3721	,
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	$\sim M_{\odot}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/961,137	KOMATSU, SHINJI				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Christopher R Harmon	3721				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	'					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 S</u>	September 2003					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	, .					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		uisapproved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 60 0.0.0	3 1 10(a) (a) of (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/432,276</u> .						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		<u> </u>				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	-				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language production 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 15	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/961,137

Art Unit: 3721

, c+

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blatter (US 6,265,002). Blatter discloses an apparatus for making and filling a bag with a strippable seal comprising a pair of thermal sealing rollers 310 with annular projections 512 for imparting a wavelike or zig-zag shape upon the bag material passing between a nip for conveyance; see figures 5A–6D.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note: the limitation "as viewed in the plane of the film" is a descriptive of a cross section of the film, not across the width of the film as the film only defines a single plane. Therefore, "in" that plane would put a viewer viewing the thickness of the film. Due to the interview of 8/25/03 the examiner believes the applicant's intention is to define a roller with annular projections for imparting a zigzag strippable seal along the width of a package.

Art Unit: 3721

Furthermore, note that limitations directed towards the article worked upon do not limit an apparatus claim; see MPEP 2115. Likewise, limitations towards the intended

Page 3

differences; see MPEP 2114.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

use of the apparatus are not discernable over the prior art if there are no structural

applicant's disclosure.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-

308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

ch

EUGENE KIVI ZIMARY EXAMINER